

---

# Values & Design in HCI Education

**Jes A. Koepfler**

University of Maryland  
4105 Hornbake Bldg, S. Wing  
College Park, MD 20742 USA  
Also:  
Intuitive Company  
Philadelphia, PA 19127 USA  
koepfler@umd.edu

**Luke Stark**

New York University  
239 Greene St., 8<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003 USA  
luke.stark@nyu.edu

**Paul Dourish**

University of California, Irvine  
6091 Donald Bren Hall  
Irvine, CA 92697 USA  
jpd@ics.uci.edu

**Phoebe Sengers**

Cornell University  
301 College Ave  
Ithaca, NY 14850 USA  
sengers@cs.cornell.edu

**Katie Shilton**

University of Maryland  
4105 Hornbake Bldg, S. Wing  
College Park, MD 20742 USA  
kshilton@umd.edu

**Abstract**

The aim of this one-day workshop is to share existing research and practice, and to develop new strategies and tools, for teaching values and design in HCI. Through collaborative group discussions and exercises, participants will critique and create approaches for making personal, social, and technical values a pedagogical focus in both traditional learning environments, such as classrooms and conferences, and alternative learning spaces such as design labs and workplaces. This workshop will bridge current gaps in research and practice as well as lay the groundwork for future efforts in teaching values and design in HCI.

**Author Keywords**

applied ethics; design methods; education; information ethics; pedagogy; values; values in design; value sensitive design

**ACM Classification Keywords**

H.5.m. Information interfaces and presentation (e.g., HCI): Miscellaneous; K.4.1 [Computers and Society]: Public Policy Issues — Ethics; K.3.m [Computers and Education]: Miscellaneous

**General Terms**

Design, Human Factors, Theory, Workshop

---

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s). Copyright is held by the author/owner(s).

CHI 2014, April 26–May 1, 2014, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

ACM 978-1-4503-2474-8/14/04.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2559206.2559231>

### Introduction

As information technology gains influence across many dimensions of our society and our everyday lives, it has become clear that technology designers must be concerned not only with the opportunities technology provides and technology's interaction with user practice, but also with the human and social values that technology design may implicitly or explicitly express. The HCI community has discovered that values manifest throughout all aspects of design, implementation, adoption and adaptation of socio-technical systems [14,16]. Values shape the technologies designers create, and impact technology use practices [9]. Much of the values and design literature is concerned with whose values are considered and materialized, and how those values are exposed, negotiated, and concretized into technical features during the process of design, features that in turn affect adoption, use, and eventually the social impact of design products [10].

In addition to discovering that values are always already at play in technology design, we have also discovered that design approaches that explicitly consider values can change the affordances of the resulting technologies [5,13,14]. This discovery leads to a significant educational challenge: how do we train future designers, engineers, and citizens to consider the roles that values currently play in technology, and to re-shape technology to reflect other, consciously chosen values? Increasingly, HCI researchers are developing new approaches for teaching students, developers, and designers about the role of both personal and social values, broadly defined, within design contexts, and disseminating such approaches through traditional academic courses and seminars,

professional development workshops at conferences, and collaborations between the academy and industry. To date, however, these many resources, tools, and pedagogical practices have remained both intellectually and practically dispersed. This workshop will document, share, and interrogate these practices, and provide a space for creating new and innovative pedagogical approaches to teaching values and design.

### Workshop Topic

This one-day workshop will extend previous values and design related workshops [4,7] by examining current and emerging practices for teaching learners about how to consider and address values and design. The workshop will consider learning environments ranging from traditional classroom settings to conference workshops, industry events, *in situ* design interventions, and other sites both inside and outside of the academy. This diversity entails a need for flexible teaching methods that enable the investigation of how values are supported, hindered, or embedded in technological artifacts; it also entails taking into account the values of stakeholders and value trade-offs in the design processes, as well as end-user values and how values are expressed in resulting technologies.

Through group discussions and exercises before and during the workshop, participants will engage with the following questions:

- What is a value [3,7,10,11]? How do we talk to learners about defining values?
- Whose values are at issue [1]? How do we teach learners to recognize multiple stakeholders and the values they bring?
- What are best practices and lessons learned from various current approaches (including Envisioning

Cards [6], Values-at-Play [2], reflective design [13], critical making [12], and more)? What works? What doesn't? Why?

- What/where are the gaps in existing values and design pedagogy, such as excluded groups, subjects, and concepts?
- How does values and design fit in with or deviate from other trends in HCI education (e.g. sustainability and green design, gamification, and behavior change technologies)?

### Workshop Goals

The workshop will encourage participation from researchers studying user-centered design, product design, requirements engineering, digital anthropology, participatory design, the learning sciences, and applied ethics, among other topics. The workshop will also include perspectives from education practitioners and academics, and those experienced with teaching science & technology studies, technology ethics, critical making, and critical technical practice. This interdisciplinary group will accomplish the following goals during the workshop:

- Survey existing pedagogical tools, practices, and methods related to values and design
- Explore how learners are taught to consider what a value is, and what values stakeholders hold (e.g. proscriptive or inductive methods, etc.)
- Create new or extend existing education tools such as syllabi, workshop agendas, curricula or other deliverables related to values and design in HCI education
- Plan for interdisciplinary applications of these methods and practices beyond the workshop by outlining an article for *interactions* magazine about

compelling practices for addressing values and design in HCI education

### Issues to Be Addressed

Through a series of discussions and activities participants will address the following issues:

**Cataloguing** the diverse range of pedagogical methods, tools, and practices already being used to teach values and design across learning environments, building on an existing online repository (<http://www.nyu.edu/projects/nissenbaum/vid/education.html>).

**Examining** the use of material technologies and practices (including the use of hands-on design projects or challenges, prototyping, design competitions, storyboarding and other interaction design techniques) within theoretical work in and out of the classroom.

**Drawing** useful contrasts between these materials as a baseline for determining what works and what does not for teaching values and design.

**Evaluating** the efficacy of existing methods and tools.

**Identifying** the virtues and challenges of non-classroom teaching, such as field trips, immersive internships and apprenticeships, and class-based assignments with a substantial co-curricular component.

**Sharing** strategies for planning and executing lessons; **co-developing** new curricula and pedagogical resources; and **prototyping** these methods with other participants.

**Considering** how pedagogy itself is infused with values and **detailing** ways to have conversations about *what* and *whose* values are part of the design process.

**Building** frameworks for an online repository for the revision, sharing, and dissemination of tools and resources for teaching values and design across age levels and in multiple contexts.

### References

- [1] T. Alsheikh, J.A. Rode, & S.E. Lindley. (Whose) value-sensitive design: a study of long- distance relationships in an Arabic cultural context. *CSCW '11*, ACM, 75–84, 2011.
- [2] J. Belman, M. Flanagan, H. Nissenbaum, & J. Diamond. Grow-A-Game: A tool for values conscious design and analysis of digital games. *DiGRA 2011 Conference: Think Design Play*, 14–17, 2011.
- [3] A. Borning & M. Muller. Next steps for value sensitive design. *CHI '12*, 1125–1134, 2012.
- [4] C. Detweiler, A. Pommeranz, A. & L. Stark. Methods to account for values in human-centered computing. *CHI EA '12*, 2735-2738, 2012.
- [5] K.R. Fleischmann & W. Wallace. Ensuring transparency in computational modeling. *Communications of the ACM* 52, 3, 131–134, 2009.
- [6] B. Friedman & D. Hendry. The envisioning cards: a toolkit for catalyzing humanistic and technical imaginations. *CHI '12*, 1145–1148, 2012.
- [7] B. Friedman, & H. Nissenbaum. Minimizing bias in computer systems. *CHI EA '95*, 444, 1995.
- [8] B. Friedman & H. Nissenbaum. Bias in computer systems. In B. Friedman, ed., *Human values and the design of computer technology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge and New York, 21–40, 1997.
- [9] C.P. Knobel & G.C. Bowker. Values in design. *Communications of the ACM*, 54(7), pages 26–28, 2011.
- [10] C.A. Le Dantec, E.S. Poole, & S.P. Wyche. Values as lived experience: evolving value sensitive design in support of value discovery. *CHI '09*, 1141–1150, 2009.
- [11] N. Manders-Huits & M. Zimmer. Values and pragmatic action: the challenges of introducing ethical intelligence in technical and design communities. *International Review of Information Ethics*, 10, 37–44, 2009.
- [12] M. Ratto. Critical making: Conceptual and material studies in technology and social life. *The Information Society*, 27(4), 252–260, 2011.
- [13] P. Sengers, K. Boehner, S. David, & J. "Jofish" Kaye. Reflective design. *CC '05*, 49–58, 2005.
- [14] Shilton, K. (2012). Values levers: building ethics into design. *Science, Technology & Human Values*.
- [15] K. Shilton, J.A. Koepfler, & K.R. Fleischmann. Charting sociotechnical dimensions of values for design research. *The Information Society* 29 (5), 2013.
- [16] K. Shilton, J.A. Koepfler, & K.R. Fleischmann. How to see values in social computing: Methods for studying values dimensions. *CSCW '14*, 2014.